Examiner Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,990	BAUER, CORDELL ERIC
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia L Engle	3612
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Patricia L Engle</u> .	(3)	
(2) Niky Syrengelas.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>2 April 2004</u>	Time: <u>12:30 pm EST</u>	·.
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: see below		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	Denn	H. Kelly
DENNIS H. PEDDER PRIMARY EXAMINER		
AU 36.2		
	4(5	Toy
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: When the IDS was submitted several patents were inadvertently left off of the IDS. The Examiner agreed to send out a Suplemental Notice of Allowability which includes a PTO-892 with the missing references. The references were cited in the parent case and the parent case was searched by the Examiner, therefore the references should not be new references..